					r
Building	Survey Unit	Reviewer	Box Plots	Q-Q Plots	Rounds of excavatio n
T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	CLLOA	~··			2.0. 226
	SU 24	TJ			3 Ra-226
					exceeded
					release
					criteria
	CUDZ	TJ			0
	SU27	IJ			0
	SU 25	TJ			2
Parcel G Bldg SUs recommended for NFA			***************************************		
Building	SU		***************************************		
			Cs-137 Bias		1
			low		
			10 VV		
364	CLI 30	т.			
	SU 20	TJ	***************************************		

Gamma scan or static concerns	On vs offsite lab	Time Series	Signs of falsifying (1=Yes, 0=no)
many scans exceeded 3 sigma plus background	on vs offsite lab consistent	why is char samples have higher concentration then FSS concentrations? Ac 228, Bi-214, K-40 Low for biased	1
None provided in FSSR	on vs offsite lab consistent		1
None provided in FSSR	on vs offsite lab consistent		1
many scans exceeded 3 sigma plus background	on vs offsite lab consistent		0

	le 11 .		Ι	
	Failure to		Overa	
Signs of falsification	follow	Signs of failure to		
summary	workplan	follow workplan	score	Comments - Other
,	(1=Y,		(0 to	
	0=N)		2)	
K-40 biased	1	No summary	2	Peanut spill area, Form said "no remedial action"
concentrations low,		statistics on static		and also "peanut spill excavation." Needed to scan
systematic were high.		measurements		entire surface area
Biased results do show				
anomalies, contrary to				
form conclusions,				
elevated ratings,				
suspicious potassium				
variation, only one round				
of sampling. scan				
measures exceed above 3				
sigma above release				
criteria				
delay in analysis of	1	No Scan or static	2	Site off spill, significant spills, time series failed, 2-6
results, K-40 -6.75 to		data provided	_	months later delay, missing scan data from the FSSR
13.65, survey unit where				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Peanut Spill site occured				
no bias samples taken				
and no remediation,				
samples were collected				
more than one day and				
•				
also delayed in analysis of				
soil samples	1	NI.		V 40 as a sale is how the mother hides Power C
samples were collected	1	No summary	2	K-40 on avg higher than other bldgs Parcel G
more than one day and		statistics on static		
also delayed in analysis of		and scan		
soil samples, samples		measurements		
received offiste more				
than 6 months laters,				
remediation occured				
occured Ra-226, some				
onsite lab results were				
finalized months after				
intial analysis			ļ	
			ļ	
	1	No summary		
		statistics on static		
		and scan		
		measurements		

Followup needed, e.g. questions for Navy	Recom mend for PCA (1=Y, 0=N)	Scoring ranking
Request Cs box plots, Note Christina will do, still waiting for it		
***************************************	<b></b>	 

				1
364	SU 22	Tj		
304	30 22	''		
	611.00			
364	SU 23	TJ		
364	SU 24	Tj See above		
	SU 25	above		
304	30 23			2
		TJ		
364	SU 27			

many scans exceeded 3 sigma plus background	on vs offsite lab consistent	0
many scan above the release criteria Static below release criteria,	on vs offsite lab 10x results	1
many scan above the release criteria Static below release criteria,	on vs offsite consistent	2

	0		SU 22 excavated from the removal sanitary sewer
			line TU-154 consolidated into TU-154, Cs-137 ROC
			above the release criteria, no FSS soil samples were
			collected, excavation encompassed SU22, area was
			backfilled SU 22
FSS soil sampels collected	0	no instrument	
on two days, Remediation		calibration,	
occured Ra-226, delay		instrument	
time analysis		information, no	
		calibration date,	
		no static surveyor,	
		no summary	
		statics provided	
		scan and static	
delay time sending		no instrument	
samples to the offsite 6		calibration,	
months, Cs-137 exceeded		instrument	
release criteria,		information, no	
		calibration date,	
		no static surveyor,	
		no summary	
		statics provided	
		scan and static	

l	
l	
l	
1	
l	
1	
l	
1	
1	
l	
1	
l	
l	
1	
l	
1	
l	
l	
l	
l	
l	
1	
1	
1	
l	
·	
1	
1	
1	
l	
l	
1	
l	
l	
l	
l	
1	
1	
l	
l	
I	
1	
l	
l	
1	
1	
l	
l	
l	
 L	